

#### **Teaching Oral Communication in Science**

#### Writing Across the Curriculum+ Program January 18, 2017

# **Workshop Outline**

- Introductions
- Evidence for importance of oral communication in employment
- Oral presentation competence and barriers, reflections
- Design principles for developing oral presentation competence (learning environment)
- Student/educator resources, workshop survey

# **Workshop Objectives**

By the end of today's workshop you will:

1. Reflect on your criteria for successful oral presentations;

2. Recognize evidence-based design principles for developing student oral presentation competence; and

3. Practice applying evidence-based principles to begin developing an oral presentation assignment.





a place of mind

# **Evidence for the importance of oral communication for future careers**

•Good oral communication skills are critical for sharing ideas and to understand and solve problems, particularly in an international society (*Krajcik and Sutherland 2010*).

-Debating scientific claims made by media/online

-Large amount of time spent in group and interpersonal oral communication (survey of practicing engineers, *Darling and Dannels 2003*)



#### **Evidence for the importance of oral communication for future careers**

•Communication skills (including oral) are highly valued by employers (*Cregten 2013, Andrews and Higson 2008, Archer and Davison 2008, Casner-Lotto et al. 2006*).

-86% of 233 UK employers ranked it as important-95.4% of 400 US employers ranked it the #1 skill

•However, studies indicate a wide gap between the required and actual skill levels of graduates (Jackson 2009, Archer and Davison 2008).





•Students generally value oral communication skills highly (*Carter 2011*).

–However, value most skills highly, particularly as upper level undergraduates (*Leggett et al. 2004*)

•Some science students may not value communication skills as highly as other skills.

–Lower level undergraduates listed lab skills and gathering information as the most important skills (*Leggett et al. 2004*)

–May not see value in science communication training or communication with the public (biotechnology students, *Edmonston et al. 2010*)



a place of mind

#### **Oral presentation competence**

•"The combination of knowledge, skills and attitudes needed to speak in public, in order to inform, selfexpress, to relate, and persuade" (*De Grez et al.* 2009a).

#### **Oral presentation competence**

•For example, industry-relevant oral communication competencies for business (*Jackson 2009*) include:

-Ability to give effective presentations.

–Presentational speaking: creating and developing a presentation appropriate to the audience, structuring and developing information clearly and effectively and delivering ideas with impact.

-Oral literacy including listening and questioning.



a place of mind



#### **Barriers to oral presentation competence**

- Communication apprehension
- Self-efficacy

#### **Barriers to oral presentation competence**

- Communication apprehension
  - "An individual's level of fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated communication with another person or persons" (*McCroskey 1977*).
  - Communication apprehension is correlated with poor oral presentation performance (*Brown and Morrisey 2004*).

#### **Barriers to oral presentation competence**

- Self-efficacy
  - An individual's belief in their capability to accomplish a task (*Bandura 1994*). Self-efficacy affects motivation, persistence, behaviour and how people think and feel.
  - Important predictor of oral presentation performance (*De Grez et al. 2009a*).
  - Self-efficacy can be improved by mastering tasks, watching others succeed, positive talk and reducing negative stress or emotions (*Bandura* 1994).

# Design principles for developing student oral presentation competence

van Ginkel, S., Gulikers, J., Biemans, H., and Mulder, M. 2015a. Towards a set of design principles for developing student oral presentation competence: a synthesis of research in higher education. Educational Research Review, 14: 62-80.

•7 design principles for the learning environment.

InstructionLearning ActivitiesAssessment Strategy

### **Design principles: Instruction**

# **#1 - Include clear learning objectives that are specific to the criteria for oral presentations and are clearly communicated to the students.**

•Can focus on:

- content,
- -form of presentations,
- -presentation delivery,
- -interaction with the audience,

but focus on particular areas, since it is difficult to evaluate them all at once (*De Grez et al. 2009a*).

# **Design principles: Instruction**

# **#1 - Include clear learning objectives that are specific to the criteria for oral presentations and are clearly communicated to the students.**

•Clear and specific learning goals set by the instructor are related to substantial growth in oral presentation competence (*Kerby and Romine 2009*).

•Learning goals can also be effective when set by the student as a personal presentation goal (*De Grez et al. 2009a*).

-Specific student goals led to better performance than general instructor goals alone.



a place of mind

#### **Design principles: Instruction**

#### #2 – Set an assignment that is authentic, relevant to students and course content and gets more complex as the course progresses.

Presentation content

- Presentation complexity
- Context

#### **Design principles: Instruction**

#### #2 – Set an assignment that is authentic, relevant to students and course content and gets more complex as the course progresses.

Presentation content

•Students score better when presenting on a topic that interests them (*De Grez et al. 2009*).

•Problem-based learning or case studies (authentic topics) improve oral presentation skills and student confidence (*Econopouly et al. 2010, Kolber 2011*).

#### **Design principles: Instruction**

#### #2 – Set an assignment that is authentic, relevant to students and course content and gets more complex as the course progresses.

Presentation complexity

•Students show more progress in oral presentation competence when they present a less complex topic first followed by a more complex topic (*Grace and Gilsdorf 2004*)

–Within a course or across the courses in a program.

## **Design principles: Instruction**

#### #2 – Set an assignment that is authentic, relevant to students and course content and gets more complex as the course progresses.

#### Context

•Students presenting for a real audience or video camera showed increased confidence to present again to a real audience, but greater effect for real audience (*Leeds and Maurer 2009*).

•Presenting to a real audience (e.g. outside the classroom) also increases students' self-efficacy for presenting to peers and other adults (*Tucker and McCarthy 2001*).





## **Design principles: Learning activities**

# **#3 – Provide opportunities for students to observe model presentations, from either peers or experts.**

•Observing a peer present increases student selfefficacy (*Adams 2004, Tucker and McCarthy 2001*) and oral presentation competence (*Taylor 1992*).

-Students watching a peer present (versus a lecturer) (Adams 2004)

–Training that includes observation of a peer model presentation (*Taylor 1992*)



a place of mind



### **Design principles: Learning activities**

# **#3 – Provide opportunities for students to observe model presentations, from either peers or experts.**

•Expert models may also have a positive effect on oral presentation competence (Swanson et al. 1992) and confidence (*Econopouly et al. 2010*).

Medical residents receiving training that included a model presentation by an investigator (*Swanson et al.* 1992)
Assignment with an instructor/TA case study

presentation (*Econopouly et al. 2010*)



## **Design principles: Learning activities**

# #4 – Allow students to practice oral presentations in order to develop competence and reduce communication apprehension.

•With practice, students:

-score better (Kolber 2011, De Grez et al. 2009b)

-show increased oral presentation competence (*Rubin et al. 1997, Swanson et al. 1992*)

–show reduced apprehension (*Leeds and Maurer* 2009, Rubin et al. 1997)

-show increased confidence and self-efficacy (Kolber 2011, Tucker and McCarthy 2001, Rubin et al. 1997)

### **Design principles: Learning activities**

# #4 – Allow students to practice oral presentations in order to develop competence and reduce communication apprehension.

–Improvement both as individuals and in groups with repeated presentations (*Kolber 2011*)

–Greatest gains between the first and second presentation (*De Grez et al. 2009b*)

–Medical residents giving multiple teaching lectures, greater improvement if also received feedback and observed a model (*Swanson et al. 1992*)

–Practice over a semester (*Rubin et al. 1997*)



## **Design principles: Learning activities**

# #4 – Allow students to practice oral presentations in order to develop competence and reduce communication apprehension.

–Students giving video presentations practiced more (in teams/individually) with greater reduction in apprehension than live presentations (*Leeds and Maurer 2009*)

–Presenting to a real audience, during service learning, increased self-efficacy compared to students that did not participate in these presentations (*Tucker and McCarthy 2001*)

–Students that did not rehearse were less focused and had poorer speaking skills (*Econopouly et al. 2001*)



a place of mind



## **Design principles: Learning activities**

# #4 – Allow students to practice oral presentations in order to develop competence and reduce communication apprehension.

•At the end of a study on the effect of video selfassessment, students concluded that practice was the most necessary aspect to improve future performance (both on their own and repeated in the classroom) (*Smith and Sodano 2011*).



# **#5 – Feedback should be specific, clear and come at an appropriate time and intensity.**

•Students receiving feedback performed better on the feedback-targeted presentation areas compared to students did not receive feedback (*Smith and King 2004*).

–Students that are highly sensitive to feedback show the greatest gains.

-There is some evidence that feedback perceived as direct personal criticism or overly negative and harsh (high intensity) can negatively affect these students.

•WAC+ Providing Effective Feedback on Writing Assignments slides and resource handout online.



a place of mind

# **#5 – Feedback should be specific, clear and come at an appropriate time and intensity.**

•Immediate feedback appears best for presentation aspects that can be adapted immediately (e.g. eye contact, body language speech delivery skills) (*King et al. 2000*).

•Delayed feedback (written feedback postperformance) is better for improving things that require time and effort to change (e.g. changing the length of the presentation) (*King et al. 2000*).



# **#5 – Feedback should be specific, clear and come at an appropriate time and intensity.**

•Students need feedback that is specific to the presentation context to stop them from making incorrect generalizations about communication that can result in lacking presentation skills (*Haber and Lingard 2001*).

•*Carroll* (2006) suggests that developing clear and specific feedback criteria (e.g., a feedback form) is necessary to trigger reflective learning by students.



#### #6 – Including peer feedback and assessment during the assignment process helps develop oral presentation competence and attitudes.

•Including peers in formative assessment has been linked to development of oral presentation competence (*Cheng and Warren 2005, Econopouly et al. 2010*) and as a positive influene on student attitude towards presenting (*van Ginkel et al. 2015b*) and their perceptions of peer feedback (*De Grez et al. 2010*).

–Peer feedback together with feedback from a tutor improved performance more than just feedback from the tutor alone (*Mitchell and Bakewell 1995*).



#### #6 – Including peer feedback and assessment during the assignment process helps develop oral presentation competence and attitudes.

•Not all students prefer peer feedback, particularly when they don't feel competent with assessment criteria (*Cheng and Warren 2005*).

-Several studies suggest training peers in the assessment process first (*e.g. Cheng and Warren 2005, de Grez et al. 2010*).



#### #7 – Self-assessment by the student improves self-efficacy, oral presentation competence and attitudes towards presenting.

•Self-assessment improves oral presentation competence (*Smith and Sodano 2011, Qurban and Austria 2009, Hinton and Kramer 1998*) and selfefficacy levels (*Brown and Morrisey 2004*) and reduces apprehension (*Hinton and Kramer 1998*).

-Using video self-assessment (*Smith and Sodano 2011*) -Written self-assessment with more experienced presenters (*Qurban and Austria 2009*)

–Video self-assessment, particularly for students showing low competence at the start (*Hinton and Kramer 1998*) –Through verbal self-talk (Brown and Morrisey 2004)



#### #7 – Self-assessment by the student improves self-efficacy, oral presentation competence and attitudes towards presenting.

•Self-assessment improves attitudes towards selfassessment as a way to develop oral presentation skill (*Smith and Sodano 2011, De Grez et al. 2012*).

–Students are more likely to apply what they learned from video self-assessment than students that did not use videos (*Smith and Sodano 2011*).



•*van Ginkel et al.* (2015b) compared the three sources of assessment and concluded:

-Educator feedback appears to be better for encouraging presentation behaviour (skills).

–Knowledge of presenting and student attitude towards presenting develop independent of the feedback source.

-Self-assessment was the least effective at developing presentation behaviour and attitude compared to the other sources.



a place of mind



#### **Resources for students**

•Presentation Skills Student Toolkit, UBC Learning Commons

•Sites with tips online (e.g. CLIMB site, Northwestern University)

•Example PowerPoint presentations (e.g. Robinson, Purdue University)

#### **Workshop Summary**

- Evidence for the importance of oral communication in careers
- Oral presentation competence, self-efficacy and communication apprehension
- 7 design principles for the learning environment
- Evidence for how these design principle support competence and self-efficacy and reduce apprehension
- Practiced applying these design principles to start developing an assignment



#### References

•Adams, K. (2004). Modelling success: Enhancing international postgraduate research students' self-efficacy for research seminar presentations. Higher Education Research & Development, 23(2), 115–130.

•Andrews, J., & Higson, H. (2008). Graduate employability, 'soft skills' •versus 'hard' business knowledge: a European study, Higher Education in Europe, 33(4), 411-422.

•Archer, W. & Davison J. (2008). Graduate Employability: the Views of the Employers. London, the Council for Industry and Higher Education (CIHE).

•Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. In V. S. Ramachaudran (Ed.), Encyclopedia of human behavior (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press.

•Brown, T., & Morrissey, L. (2004). The effectiveness of verbal self-guidance as a transfer of training intervention: Its impact on presentation performance, self-efficacy and anxiety. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 41(3), 255–271.

•Carroll, C. (2006). Enhancing reflective learning through role-plays: The use of an effective sales presentation evaluation form in student role-plays. Marketing Education Review, 16(1), 9–13.

•Carter, L. (2011). Ideas for adding soft skills education to service learning and capstone courses for computer science students. 517-521. Proceedings of the 42nd ACM technical symposium on Computer science education, March 09-12, 2011, Dallas, TX, USA.

•Casner-Lotto, J., Barrington, L. & Wright, M. (2006). Are they really ready to work?: Employers' perspectives on the basic knowledge and applied skills of new entrants to the 21st century US workforce. Conference Board, Inc., the Partnership for 21st Century Skills, Corporate Voices for Working Families, and the Society for Human Resource Management.

•Cheng,W., & Warren, M. (2005). Peer assessment of language proficiency. Language Testing, 22(1), 93–121.



#### References

•Cregton, S. (2013). Core competencies that medium and large employers want in new graduates. UBC Centre for Student Involvement and Careers.

•Darling, A.L., & Dannels, D.P. (2003). Practicing engineers talk about the importance of talk: a report on the role of oral communication in the workplace, Communication Education, 52(1), 1-16.

•De Grez, L., Valcke, M., & Berings, D. (2010). Peer assessment of oral presentation skills. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2(2), 1776–1780.

•De Grez, L., Valcke, M., & Roozen, I. (2009a). The impact of goal orientation, self-reflection and personal characteristics on the acquisition of oral presentation skills. European Journal of Psychology of Education, 24(3), 293–306.

•De Grez, L., Valcke, M., & Roozen, I. (2009b). The impact of an innovative instructional intervention on the acquisition of oral presentation skills in higher education. Computers & Education, 53(1), 112–120.

•De Grez, L., Valcke, M., & Roozen, I. (2012). How effective are self-and peer assessment of oral presentation skills compared to teachers' assessments? Active Learning in Higher Education, 13(2), 129–142.

•Econopouly, B. F., Byrne, P. F., & Johnson, M. A. (2010). Incorporating case studies into a world food and population course. Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education, 39, 79–83.

•Edmondston, J.E., Dawson, V., & Schibeci, R. (2010). Undergraduate biotechnology students' views of science communication. International Journal of Science Education, 32(18), 2451-2474.

•Grace, D. M., & Gilsdorf, J.W. (2004). Classroom strategies for improving students' oral communication skills. Journal of Accounting Education, 22(2), 165–172.



#### References

•Haber, R. J., & Lingard, L. A. (2001). Learning oral presentation skills, a rhetorical analysis with pedagogical and professional implications. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 16(5), 308–314.

•Hinton, J. S., & Kramer, M. W. (1998). The impact of self-directed videotape feedback on students' self-reported levels of communication competence and apprehension. Communication Education, 47(2), 151–161.

•Jackson, D. (2009). An international profile of industry-relevant competencies and skill gaps in modern graduates. International Journal of Management Education, 8(3), 29-58.

•Kerby, D., & Romine, J. (2009). Develop oral presentation skills through accounting curriculum design and courseembedded assessment. Journal of Education for Business, 85(3), 172–179.

•King, P., Young, M., & Behnke, R. (2000). Public speaking performance improvement as a function of information processing in immediate and delayed feedback interventions. Communication Education, 49(4), 365–374.

•Kolber, B. (2011). Extended problem-based learning improves scientific communication in senior-biology students. Journal of College Science Teaching, 41(1), 32–39.

•Krajcik, J.S., & Sutherland, L.M. (2010). Supporting students in developing literacy in science. Science, 328, 456-459.

•Leeds, E. M., & Maurer, R. A. (2009). Using digital video technology to reduce communication apprehension in business education. INFORMS Transactions on Education, 9(2), 84–92.

•Leggett, M., Kinnear, A., Boyce, M., & Bennett, I. (2004). Student and staff perceptions of the importance of generic skills in science, Higher Education Research & Development, 23(3), 295-312.

•McCroskey, J.C. (1977). Oral communication apprehension: a summary of recent theory and research. Human Communication Research, 4, 78-96.



#### References

•Mitchell, V.W., & Bakewell, C. (1995). Learning without doing – Enhancing oral presentation skills through peer-review. Management Learning, 26(3), 353–366.

•Qurban, M. H., & Austria, R. D. (2009). Improving the communication skills of IS developers during requirements elicitation using experiential learning.

•Journal of Information Systems Education, 20(3), 301–311.

•Rubin, R. B., Rubin, A. M., & Jordan, F. F. (1997). Effects of instruction on communication apprehension and communication competence. Communication Education, 46(2), 104–114.

•Smith, C. D., & King, P. E. (2004). Student feedback sensitivity and the efficacy of feedback interventions in public speaking performance improvement. Communication Education, 53(3), 203–216.

•Smith, C. M., & Sodano, T. M. (2011). Integrating lecture capture as a teaching strategy to improve student presentation skills through self-assessment. Active Learning in Higher Education, 12(3), 151–162.

•Swanson, R.W., Spooner, H. J., Reeder, B. A., Haight, K. R., & van Senthilsel, A. (1992). Improving presentation skills of family medicine residents: Arandomized controlled study. Medical Education, 26(3), 241–247.

•Taylor, P. (1992). Improving graduate student seminar presentations through training. Teaching of Psychology, 19(4), 236-238.

•Tucker, M. L., & McCarthy, A. M. (2001). Presentation self-efficacy: Increasing communication skills through service-learning. Journal of Managerial Issues, 13(2), 227–244.

•van Ginkel, S., Gulikers, J., Biemans, H. and Mulder, M. (2015a). Towards a set of design principles for developing student oral presentation competence: a synthesis of research in higher education. Educational Research Review, 12, 62-80.

•van Ginkel, S., Gulikers, J., Biemans, H. & Mulder, M. (2015). The impact of the feedback source on developing oral presentation competence. Studies in Higher Education.



# **Contact the WAC+ Program** Email: <u>wac.coordinator@ubc.ca</u> Website: <u>http://scwrl.ubc.ca/wac</u>

Writing Across the Curriculum+

January 18, 2017



#### a place of mind THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA